arXiv:cond-mat/0408621v3 [cond-mat.mes-hall] 4 May 2005

Vortices, tunneling and deconfinement in bilayer quantum Hall excitonic superfluid

Ziqiang Wang
Department of Physics, Boston College, Chestnut Hill, MA 02467

(Dated: February 2, 2008)

The physics of vortices, instantons and deconfinement is studied for layered superfluids in connection to bilayer quantum Hall systems at filling fraction $\nu = 1$. We develop an effective gauge theory taking into account both vortices and instantons induced by interlayer tunneling. The renormalization group flow of the gauge charge and the instanton fugacity shows that the coupling of the gauge field to vortex matter produces a continuous transition between the confining phase of free instantons and condensed vortices and a deconfined gapless superfluid where magnetic charges are bound into dipoles. The interlayer tunneling conductance and the layer imbalance induced inhomogeneous exciton condensate are discussed in connection to experiments.

PACS numbers: 73.43.Jn, 73.43.Nq, 73.21.-b, 64.60.Ak

When the total number of electrons in a double-layer electron system equals the degeneracy of the lowest spin-resolved Landau level, a stable phase of matter emerges in which an electron in one layer binds a hole in the other to form an interlayer phase coherent exciton condensate [1, 2]. Such a state is expected to display transport properties similar to a neutral superfluid (SF) in the layer antisymmetric channel [3, 4, 5]. Recent experiments, tunneling [6], Coulomb drag [7], and counterflow [8], have evidenced the development of such an excitonic SF at small layer separations. Yet the same experiments observed properties that are unconventional of a two-dimensional SF. The usual vortex-unbinding Kosterlitz-Thouless (KT) transition has not been seen; the zero-bias tunneling peak, δ-function like in a Josephson analogy, is large but finite; and the counterflow resistance, zero in the case of a SF, is small but nonzero at very low temperatures. These findings suggest that the SF response is limited by the dissipation of quantum vortices induced by disorder. [1, 9, 10, 11]. Indeed, numerical diagonalizations find that moderate disorder generates a gauge-glass phase where the SF stiffness is nonzero only at zero-temperature [12].

In addition to vortices, another important issue is whether the *spontaneous* interlayer coherence is stable against interlayer tunneling, i.e. an exchange-enhanced [13] symmetric-antisymmetric band splitting Δ_{SAS}. The tunneling events create space-time singularities, i.e. instantons, in the counterflow current [4]. In analogy to compact quantum electrodynamics in (2+1) dimensions (QED$_3$), where the U(1) gauge field is always confining and massive due to instantons [14], one may conclude intuitively that the gapless SF mode, and thus the exciton condensate is destroyed by tunneling.

The above analogy to a pure gauge theory is oversimplified because of the coupling to vortex matter. In this paper, we investigate the interplay between the vortices and instantons and the emergence of a deconfined exciton condensate. The subject of (de)confinement when matter fields are present is also central to the gauge theory formulation of spin liquids and underdoped high-T$_c$ cuprates [15, 16]. Using the strong-coupling duality approach, we describe the neutral SF in terms of vortices coupled to a gauge field. Instantons induced by tunneling are magnetic monopoles/antimonopoles with an interaction mediated by the gauge field. Taking into account the contributions from both instantons and vortices with Ohmic dissipation, we obtain the renormalization group (RG) flow of the gauge charge and the instanton fugacity. We find a fixed point in the RG flow at a critical vortex conductivity σ_v^c. For $\sigma_v < \sigma_v^c$, the instantons are in a plasma phase capable of screening; the gapless mode is destroyed and the gauge field is confining. This is a $\nu = 1$ integer quantum Hall state driven by an arbitrarily small interlayer tunneling. The deconfined exciton condensate emerges for $\sigma_v > \sigma_v^c$, where the instanton fugacity flows to zero and the monopoles/antimonopoles bind into magnetic dipoles in a dielectric phase. We present a perturbative calculation of the tunneling current under vortex dissipation. The layer-imbalance is shown to lead to an inhomogeneous SF with spatial density modulations.

We begin with the action for the neutral SF mode,

$$S = \frac{1}{2}\rho_s \int d^3r [\partial_\mu \theta(\mathbf{r})]^2, \tag{1}$$

where $\mathbf{r} = (x, y; \tau)$, $\partial_\mu = (\partial_x, \partial_y; \partial_\tau)$, and θ is the phase of the excitonic order parameter with stiffness ρ_s. For convenience, we set the superfluid velocity v_s to unity. The current-density in the isotropic space-time is $J_\mu = \rho_s \partial_\mu \theta$. Eq.(1) is the (2+1)D XY-model in the continuum limit. To account for the periodicity of θ, we go to the vortex picture by a duality transformation [17]. Introducing a Hubbard-Stratonovich (HS) field J_μ,

$$S = \int d^3r \left(\frac{1}{2\rho_s} J_\mu^2 + iJ_\mu \left[\partial_\mu \theta_0(\mathbf{r}) + \partial_\mu \theta_{\mathrm{v}}(\mathbf{r}) \right] \right). \tag{2}$$

Here we have decomposed θ into the sum of a single-valued θ_0 and a multivalued vortex part θ_{v}. The vortex 3-current is $j_\mu^v = (1/2\pi)\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda}\partial_\nu\partial_\lambda\theta_{\mathrm{v}}$. Integrating over θ_0 enforces the conservation of the boson 3-current, $\partial_\mu J_\mu =$

0, i.e. charge continuity in the *absence* of tunneling. The latter can be implemented explicitly by writing $J_\mu = (1/2\pi)\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda}\partial_\nu a_\lambda$, where a_μ is a *noncompact* U(1) gauge field. The dual action of the SF follows,

$$S = \int d^3r \left(\frac{1}{8\pi^2\rho_s} f_{\mu\nu}^2 + i j_\mu^v a_\mu \right), \qquad (3)$$

with $f_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu a_\nu - \partial_\nu a_\mu$. This is the Maxwell action of a gauge field minimally coupled to the vortex matter carrying unit gauge charge $e_g = \sqrt{2\pi^2\rho_s}$. The vortex current is conserved, i.e. $\partial_\mu j_\mu^v = 0$, in the *absence* of tunneling. Vortices have closed-loop world lines. The gapless gauge field mediates a logarithmic vorticity interaction. Consequently, there is a KT transition due to the proliferation of the vortices. In quantum Hall bilayers, vortices are merons carrying half of an electric charge in addition to vorticity [18]. The lowest energy charge excitations are meron pairs of like charge and opposite vorticity.

As electrons tunnel, meron pairs are excited and the counterflow current J_μ is no longer conserved. The continuity equation becomes $\partial_\mu J_\mu = \pm 2\delta(x)\delta(y)\delta(\tau)$ following a tunneling event at $\mathbf{r} = 0$. Such sources and drains of charge implies $\int d^3r \partial_\mu J_\mu = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int d^3r \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda}\partial_\nu a_\lambda = \pm 2$, i.e. the emergence of magnetic monopoles/antimonopoles in the space-time configuration of a_μ [4]. Thus, although a_μ starts off as a noncompact gauge field, tunneling introduces singularities, i.e. instantons, that arise spontaneously in a compact U(1) gauge theory. Writing $a_\mu = a_\mu + a_\mu^{\rm inst}$, where a_μ is the smooth part of the gauge field, we have the magnetic Gauss's law $\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda}\partial_\mu\partial_\nu a_\lambda^{\rm inst} = 4\pi\rho_M$, where $\rho_M = \sum_a q_a \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_a)$ is the instanton/monopole density and $q_a = \pm 1$ are the magnetic charges. The magnetic charges experience the $1/r$-interaction from the Maxwell term in Eq.(3) and have the action [4, 14],

$$S_{\rm inst} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{8\pi^2\rho_s}\sum_{a\neq b} q_a \frac{4\pi}{|\mathbf{r}_a - \mathbf{r}_b|} q_b + m_c \sum_a q_a^2, \qquad (4)$$

where m_c is the action cost for nucleating an isolated monopole core. The magnetic charge $e_m = 1/2e_g$ is identified from the first term. Decouple the monopole interactions via a HS field ϕ, and sum over the trajectories of monopoles, the instanton partition function is obtained [14] and the total path integral of the bilayer is

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \int \mathcal{D}[a, j^v, \phi] e^{-\int d^3r (\mathcal{L}_v[a,j^v] + \mathcal{L}_{\rm inst}[\phi])}, && (5) \\ \mathcal{L}_v &= \frac{1}{8\pi^2\rho_s} f_{\mu\nu}^2 + i j_\mu^v a_\mu, && (6) \\ \mathcal{L}_{\rm inst} &= \frac{1}{2}\rho_s(\partial_\mu\phi)^2 - z\cos\phi. && (7) \end{aligned}$$

The instanton part, Eq.(7), is the sine-Gordon action with $z = e^{-m_c}$ the instanton fugacity. Since it represents the probability of finding a monopole per unit space-time volume, z is directly related to the tunneling rate, $z \simeq N_0 \Delta_{SAS}$ where $N_0 = 1/2\pi\ell_B^2$ is the electron density. Eqs.(5-7) describe the system of gauge field and instantons coupled to vortex matter. Without the matter fields, Polyakov showed that instantons drive the compact QED$_3$ to the confined phase where the pure gauge field acquires a mass [14]. This amounts to a relevant fugacity z in the (2+1)D sine-Gordon model that grows under RG transformations, causing the proliferation of instantons. The monopoles are in a plasma phase capable of screening and the gauge charges are confined.

The question is what happens when vortices are present. Due to instantons, the vortices are no longer conserved since they are created and annihilated at the monopoles. The vortex configuration thus contains both closed loops and open segments (strings) connecting a monopole and an antimonopole [19]. For a nonzero fugacity z, there is a nonzero string tension $T_s \sim \sqrt{z\rho_s}$. The vortices are linearly confined and large vortex loops are interrupted by instantons. This is the confinement phase where monopoles are free and the vortex string condensation destroys the SF coherence. The existence of a gapless exciton condensate is thus tied to the deconfinement of the gauge field, which is only possible if the instanton fugacity vanishes. When $z = 0$, it costs an infinite amount of energy to create a free monopole. The monopoles and antimonopoles are thus bound into magnetic dipoles and magnetic charges are confined in an insulating dielectric phase. Since the string tension T_s goes to zero in this case, the vortex segments disappear. The low energy physics is then governed by that of a SF with finite vortex loops.

We next show that the coupling to dissipative vortex matter indeed stabilizes a deconfined phase and materializes a quantum deconfinement transition. At the *classical* level, a related issue of an XY-model in a symmetry-breaking field was recently revisited and the deconfinement of thermally excited vortices was found [20]. It is important to emphasize what we have at hand is a system of vortices coupled to both the smooth and the singular part of the gauge field. As a consequence, the electric and magnetic charges are determined by the same ρ_s in Eqs.(6) and (7). The RG flow equation for ρ_s has therefore two contributions; one from instantons in the sine-Gordon action Eq.(7), which is quite standard, and the other from the vortex-gauge field coupling in the instanton-free sector. In the presence of disorder, vortices move along the direction of the Magnus and Lorentz forces, as well as drift in the direction of the superflow current. This degrades the temporal order of the SF and introduces dissipation in the transport channels under both parallel and counterflow geometry.

To study the effects of dissipation due to mobile vortices in the instanton-free sector, we examine the self-energy corrections to the gauge field to quadratic order,

$$\Delta S_2 = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\mathbf{q},\omega} \Pi_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{q},\omega) a_\mu(\mathbf{q},\omega) a_\nu(-\mathbf{q},-\omega), \tag{8}$$

where $\Pi_{\mu\nu} = \langle j^v_\mu j^v_\nu \rangle$ is the vortex current-current correlation function. Since the vortices are mobile at extremely low temperatures, $\Pi_{\mu\nu}$ must have a form consistent with quantum dissipation. Note that one cannot formally "integrate out" the quantum dissipative vortices because they correspond to gapless low energy degrees of freedom. Instead, Eq.(8) should be understood in the sense of the RG, i.e. at appropriate momentum and frequency scales. Moreover, the RG procedure must be carried out simultaneously in the instanton sector because there is not an adiabatic limit justifying a separation of energy scales. The gauge symmetry implies that the gauge fields in ΔS_2 must appear in the form of $f^2_{\mu\nu}$ in the long-wavelength and low-energy limit, leading to a renormalization of ρ_s or the gauge charge. The absence of nonlinearity in the tunneling and counterflow transport [6, 8] suggests that the vortices are described by Ohmic dissipation. This is in fact consistent with the current-current correlation of the XY-model above the KT transition [21]. We thus take $\Pi_{\mu\nu}$ to be of the diffusive form and denote the vortex conductivity by σ_v in unit of $e_v^2/\hbar$ with e_v the vortex charge. We have, in the Coulomb gauge $\vec{\nabla}\cdot\vec{a} = 0$,

$$\Delta S_2 = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\mathbf{q},\omega}\left[\frac{|\omega|\sigma_v}{q^2} f^2_{xy}(\mathbf{q},\omega) + \frac{\sigma_v}{|\omega|} f^2_{i,\tau}(\mathbf{q},\omega)\right], \tag{9}$$

where the index $i = (x, y)$. The singular self-energy corrections in the long-wavelength and low-energy limit indicate that the gauge field acquires an anomalous dimension. Introducing the dimensionless coupling $\hat{\rho}_s = b^{-1} Z_a \rho_s$, where b is an isotropic 3-momentum scale and Z_a is the renormalization constant of the gauge field, Eq.(9) leads to $Z_a = 1 - 4\pi^2\eta b^{-1}\sigma_v\rho_s$. Here, η is a dimensionless constant relating $q = b/\eta$. It is now straightforward to obtain the RG flow $\beta_\rho = d\hat{\rho}_s/d\ell$ with $\ell = -\ln b$. Combined with the standard RG contributions from the sine-Gordon action in Eq.(7) under the same cutoff procedure, we arrive at the complete flow equations that account for both instantons and vortices,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\hat{\rho}_s}{d\ell} &= \hat{\rho}_s - 4\pi^2\eta\sigma_v\hat{\rho}_s^2 + 8\frac{\hat{z}^2}{\hat{\rho}_s} && (10) \\ \frac{d\hat{z}}{d\ell} &= \left(3 - \frac{1}{4\pi^2\hat{\rho}_s}\right)\hat{z}, && (11) \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{z}$ is the dimensionless fugacity and the $\hat{z}^2$ term comes from screening by monopole-antimonopole pairs.

The RG flow in the $\hat{\rho}_s$-$\hat{z}$ plane determines the possible phases of the system. Without mobile vortices, i.e. for $\sigma_v = 0$, both $\hat{z}$ and $\hat{\rho}_s$ flow to large values. The monopoles stay in the single plasma phase and the gauge field is confining. In the presence of vortex dissipation, however, a deconfined phase emerges for sufficiently large σ_v. Indeed, the flow equations (10) and (11) support a nontrivial fixed point at $\hat{\rho}_s^* = \frac{1}{4\pi^2\eta\sigma_v}$ and $\hat{z}^* = 0$, provided that $\sigma_v > \sigma_v^c = 3/\eta$. In this case, the scaling dimension of z becomes larger than the space-time dimension, forcing a finite fugacity (tunneling rate) to flow to zero while the phase stiffness to a finite value. This fixed point is infrared stable and represents a deconfined phase where the monopoles and antimonopoles are bound into dielectric dipoles. The critical value σ_v^c marks a confinement-deconfinement transition. These are our main results. The deconfinement transition is from the single-particle quantum Hall fluid driven by interlayer tunneling to the spontaneous phase coherent exciton condensate. A direct transition from the latter to the incoherent compressible state is also possible since it has been shown that a dissipative normal fluid can suppress the interlayer tunneling at low temperatures [22].

We next discuss the interlayer tunneling conductance in the presence of vortex dissipation. The perturbative calculation [9] of the tunneling current density $J(t) = (e/\hbar)z\langle\sin(\theta-\omega t)\rangle$ is valid in the deconfined phase where the instanton fugacity z is irrelevant in the RG. Under a bias voltage V, the current density is given by [9],

$$J(V) = C_0\int_0^\infty dt \int d^2R \sin(\frac{eV}{\hbar}t)\frac{\theta(v_s t - R)}{\sqrt{v_s^2 t^2 - R^2}} e^{-W(\mathbf{R},t)}, \tag{12}$$

where $C_0 = e v_s z^2/2\pi\hbar\rho_s$. The Debye-Waller factor $e^{-W(\mathbf{R},t)} = \langle e^{i\theta(\mathbf{R},t)} e^{-i\theta(0,0)}\rangle = \langle e^{i\int d^3r j_\mu \partial_\mu\theta}\rangle$, where $j_\mu(\mathbf{x},\tau;\mathbf{R},t) = \delta_{\mu,0}[\delta(\mathbf{x})\theta(\tau) - \delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{R})\theta(\tau-t)]$ is the current along the path connecting the tunneling/instanton events at $(0,0)$ and $(\mathbf{R},t)$. The quantum average is with respect to the dual action in Eq. (3). In the presence of j_μ, the saddle-point (SP) can be solved by writing $a_\mu = \delta a_\mu + \bar{a}_\mu$, $\bar{a}_\mu = \partial_\mu\theta$. The SP equation is $\partial_\tau\rho_v + \vec{\nabla}\cdot\vec{j}_v = \partial_\mu j_\mu = \rho_M$, which connects the divergence of the vortex current to the instanton density $\rho_M = \delta(\mathbf{x})\delta(\tau) - \delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{R})\delta(\tau-t)$. From vortex diffusion, we have $\vec{j}_v = D(\vec{\nabla} - \gamma_H\hat{z}\times\vec{\nabla})\rho_v$ where D is the diffusion constant and γ_H is the Hall angle which does not affect charge spreading in the bulk. Thus, the tunneling induced vortex density is $\rho_v(\mathbf{q},\omega_n;\mathbf{R},t) = \Gamma(\mathbf{q},\omega_n;\mathbf{R},t)/(Dq^2 + |\omega_n|)$, where $\Gamma = 1 - e^{i(\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{R}-\omega_n\tau)}$. Separating $j^v_\mu = \delta j^v_\mu + \bar{j}^v_\mu$ with $\bar{j}^v_\mu = \rho_v\delta_{\mu,0}$, the Debye-Waller factor becomes $e^{-W(\mathbf{R},t)} = \langle e^{i\int d^3r \rho_v(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{R},t)\delta a_0(\mathbf{r})}\rangle$ evaluated with the Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L} = (1/2e_g^2)(\partial_\mu\delta a_0)^2 + i\delta\rho_v\delta a_0$ in the Coulomb gauge. Thus W depends on the interaction mediated by the longitudinal gauge field δa_0,

$$W(\mathbf{R},t) = \frac{T}{2}\sum_{q,\omega_n} V_{\text{vort}}(\mathbf{q},\omega_n)\frac{|\Gamma(\mathbf{q},\omega_n;\mathbf{R},t)|^2}{(Dq^2 + |\omega_n|)^2}, \tag{13}$$

where $V_{\text{vort}} = (q^2/e_g^2) + \sigma_v q^2/\hbar(Dq^2 + |\omega_n|)$ is the screened vortex interaction. In the perturbative regime,

$t \ll t_p = \sqrt{\rho_s/zv_s^2}$, screening due to the slow diffusive motion of the vortices can be ignored for weak dissipation (small vortex conductivity), since $t \ll t_v = \hbar/e_g^2\sigma_v$ is always satisfied. Indeed, $t_v/t_p = \hbar\omega_J/2\pi^2\rho_s\sigma_v \gg 1$ can be verified explicitly using the estimates [2], $\omega_J \sim 10^{10}$/s, $\rho_s \sim 0.1$ K, and $\sigma_v \sim 3\times 10^{-4}$ from the counterflow resistivity [8]. We find, in this regime, $W(\mathbf{R},t) = \frac{t}{\tau_\varphi} + \frac{R^2}{2\xi_\varphi}$, where $\tau_\varphi = 8\hbar/\pi\rho_s$ is the coherence time for recombination of the vortex-antivortex pair and $\xi_\varphi = \sqrt{\pi D\tau_\varphi/4}$ is the coherence length. Performing the integral in Eq. (12), we obtain the tunneling conductance

$$\frac{dJ(V)}{dV} = eJ_0\frac{\hbar/\tau_\varphi}{(eV)^2 + (\hbar/\tau_\varphi)^2}, \tag{14}$$

where $J_0 = ez^2\xi_\varphi^2/\hbar\rho_s$. The vortex dissipation destroys the quasi-long-range temporal order of the SF phase and removes the Josephson singularity. The tunneling conductance shows a Lorentzian peak around zero bias with a half-width $\hbar/\tau_\varphi = \pi\rho_s/8 \sim 3$ μeV. This value is expected to be renormalized downward by quantum fluctuation corrections to the phase stiffness in the Hartree-Fock theory [2, 18]. These results are consistent with the tunneling experiments [6]. The full behavior of the tunneling current will be discussed elsewhere.

To summarize, instantons and deconfinement are important for understanding layered superfluids in the presence of interlayer tunneling. For quantum Hall bilayers, the interlayer coherent exciton condensate is realized in the deconfined phase stabilized by mobile vortices with Ohmic dissipation. The transition between the gapless exciton condensate and the single-particle quantum Hall state is described by the deconfinement transition. We conclude with a discussion on imbalanced bilayers created by an applied bias voltage (μ) coupled to the difference of the carrier density. Retracing the steps leading to the dual action shows that the effect of imbalance is to add a term $-\mu(\vec\nabla\times\vec a)$ to Eqs.(3) and (6). The net gauge flux $\vec\nabla\times\vec a$ represents the *finite* boson density-imbalance and the chemical potential μ acts as an applied magnetic field. Increasing layer-imbalance induces more vortices and enhances dissipation (an increase in ρ_{xx}). In analogy to type II superconductors, at a critical value μ_c, vortices will condense. In the *absence* of tunneling/instantons, the gauge flux forms an Abrikosov lattice. This state is a Wigner crystal of bosons and the transition would be in the universality class of a field-tuned superconductor-insulator transition [23]. Indeed, Hartree-Fock theory predicts an incipient charge density wave order triggered by the softening of the magnetoroton minimum [13]. This conventional picture, however, breaks down due to instantons induced by interlayer tunneling. The reason being, once vortices condense, the gauge field a_μ in Eq.(6) acquires a mass due to the Anderson-Higgs mechanism. The instanton fugacity z in Eq.(7) becomes relevant. Thus, vortex condensation implies $\phi = 0$ and a gain of the Josephson energy $E_J = -z\langle\cos\phi\rangle$. In a flux lattice, the phase ϕ winds around each vortex by 2π and cannot gain the Josephson energy. The Wigner crystal of bosons is thus unstable due to instantons. The competition between flux lattice and vortex condensation leads naturally to an inhomogeneous state as a compromise. The system breaks up into puddles of vortex condensate where $E_J \neq 0$ separated by regions of uncondensed vortices where $E_J = 0$. The existence of such an inhomogeneous excitonic condensate is consistent with the observation that the interlayer coherence is robust against layer imbalance [24, 25, 26]. With increasing imbalance, macroscopic phase coherence eventually establishes across the puddles via vortex tunneling and a transition into an inhomogeneous, compressible normal state takes place. This corresponds to the layer-imbalance driven transition observed experimentally [26].

The author thanks J.P. Eisenstein, S.M. Girvin, A.H. MacDonald, and X.G. Wen for discussions, and Aspen Center for Physics for hospitality. This work is supported in part by DOE grant No. DE-FG02-99ER45747 and ACS grant No. 39498-AC5M.

[1] J.P. Eisenstein and A.H. MacDonald, cond-mat/0404113.

[2] For reviews, see S.M. Girvin and A.H. MacDonald, in *Perspectives in Quantum Hall Effect*, edited by S. Das Sarma and A. Pinczuk (Wiley, New York, 1997).

[3] H. Fertig, Phys. Rev. B**40**, 1087 (1989).

[4] X.G. Wen and A. Zee, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 1811 (1992);

[5] Z.F. Ezawa and A. Iwazaki, Phys. Rev. B**48**, 15189 (1993). Phys. Rev. B**47**, 2265 (1993).

[6] I.B. Spielman *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 5808 (2000); **87**, 036803 (2001).

[7] M. Kellogg *et. al.,* Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 246801 (2003).

[8] M. Kellogg *et. al.,* Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 036801 (2004); E. Tutuc *et. al.,* Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 036802 (2004).

[9] A. Stern *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 1829 (2001); L. Balents and L. Radzihovsky, *ibid.* **86**, 1825 (2001); M. Fogler and F. Wilczek, *ibid.* **86**, 1833 (2001).

[10] H.A. Fertig and J.P. Straley, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 046806 (2003).

[11] D.A. Huse, cond-mat/0407452 (2004).

[12] D. Sheng, L. Balents, and Z. Wang Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 116802 (2003).

[13] Y.N. Joglekar and A.H. MacDonald Phys. Rev. B**65**, 235319 (2002).

[14] A.M. Polyakov, Nucl. Phys. B**120**, 429 (1977); *Gauge field and Strings* (Harwood Academic, London 1987).

[15] N. Nagaosa and P.A. Lee, Phys. Rev. B**61**, 9166 (2000).

[16] M. Hermele *et al.,* Phys. Rev. B**70**, 214437 (2004).

[17] M.P.A. Fisher and D.H. Lee, Phys. Rev. B**39**, 2756 (1989).

[18] K. Moon *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **51**, 5138 (1995); K. Yang *et al.*, *ibid.* **54**, 11644 (1996).

[19] M.B. Einhorn and R. Savit, Phys. Rev. D**17**, 2583 (1978); **19**, 1198 (1979).

[20] H.A. Fertig, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 035703 (2002).

[21] B.I. Halperin and D.R. Nelson, J. Low Temp. Phys. **36**, 599 (1979).

[22] Z. Wang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 136803 (2004).

[23] J.W. Ye, cond-mat/0407088 (2004).

[24] A. Sawada *et al.,* Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 4534 (1998).

[25] E. Tutuc *et al.,* Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 076802 (2003).

[26] I.B. Spielman *et al.,* cond-mat/0406067 (2004).